The Honorable Richard A. Jones 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR11-077RAJ 11 **Plaintiff** UNCLASSIFIED PROTECTIVE ORDER 12 **PURSUANT TO SECTION 4 OF** 13 **CLASSIFIED INFORMATION** PROCEDURES ACT AND FEDERAL 14 RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE ROMAN SELEZNEV. 15 16(d)(1)Defendant. 16 17 This matter has come before the Court on the Government's Classified In Camera, Ex Parte Motion for a Protective Order Pursuant to CIPA Section 4 and Fed. R. Crim. P. 18 19 16(d)(1) ("Government's Motion for a Protective Order"). By its motion, the government 20 requested that the Court, pursuant to CIPA § 4, Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(d)(1), and the 21 applicable law: (1) conduct an in camera, ex parte review of the government's 22 submission; (2) authorize the government to withhold specified classified information 23 from discovery; and (3) order that the entire text of the government's motion and 24 accompanying exhibits shall not be disclosed to the defense and shall be sealed and 25 preserved in the records of the Court to be made available for any future review of these 26 proceedings. 27 28

United States v. Seleznev, CR11-077RAJ Protective Order- 2

The Court, having carefully considered the government's motion and memorandum of law, and supporting materials filed therewith, GRANTS the Government's Motion for a Protective Order in its entirety.

The Court finds that the Government's Motion for a Protective Order was properly filed *in camera*, *ex parte* for this Court's review, pursuant to CIPA § 4 and Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(d)(1). The Court has conducted an *in camera*, *ex parte* review.

On the basis of the Court's independent review of the information and the arguments set forth in the Government's Motion for a Protective Order and the classified material in support of the motion, the Court finds that the classified information referenced in the government's motion and memorandum of law implicates the government's classified information privilege because the information is properly classified and its disclosure could cause serious damage to the national security of the United States. Furthermore, the Court finds that none of the classified information is exculpatory, see Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and that the information is not impeachment material. See Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972).

Additionally, the Court finds that the "relevant and helpful" standard articulated in United States v. Rovario, 353 U.S. 53 (1957), United States v. Yunis, 867 F.2d 617 (D.C. Cir. 1989), and United States v. Klimavicius-Viloria, 144 F.3d 1249, 1261 (9th Cir. 1998), is the appropriate standard by which to analyze the discoverability of classified information where, as here, the government has properly invoked the classified information privilege. To this end, the Court finds that in applying the Rovario/Yunis/Klimavicius-Viloria standard, none of the classified information referenced in the government's motion is relevant and helpful to the defense. This Order also applies to the government's discovery obligations under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16, and Brady v. Maryland, Giglio v. United States and their progeny with regard to the information described herin.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the government is authorized to withhold the specified classified information outlined above from discovery to the defense.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that the government's motion, memorandum of
2	law, and the accompanying materials shall not be disclosed to the defense, and shall be
3	sealed and maintained in a facility appropriate for the storage of such classified
4	information by the Classified Information Security Officer as the designee of the Clerk of
5	Court, in accordance with established security procedures, for any future review, until
6	further order of this Court.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED, this day of April, 2016.
8	(200)
9	Deriving 10 p/vm
10	RICHARD A. JONES United States District Judge
1.1	
12	
13	Presented by:
14	/s/ Norman M. Barbosa
15	NORMAN M. BARBOSA Assistant United States Attorney
16	Assistant Office States Actionly
17	
18	/s/ Seth Wilkinson
19	Assistant United States Attorney
20	
21	/s/ Harold Chun
22	Trial Attorney
23	United States Department of Justice
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	